

# Worcester Sixth Form College 24435 Centre Policy

---

FOR A/AS LEVELS AND GCSES FOR SUMMER 2021



## Centre Policy for determining teacher assessed grades in Summer 2021

This policy has been produced to reflect Worcester Sixth Form College's interpretation of the document: *JCQ Guidance on the determination of grades for A/AS Levels and GCSEs for summer 2021*

### Statement of Intent

The purpose of this policy is:

- To ensure that teacher assessed grades are determined fairly, consistently, free from bias and effectively within and across departments.
- To ensure the operation of effective processes with clear guidelines and support for staff.
- To ensure that all staff involved in the processes clearly understand their roles and responsibilities.
- To support teachers to take evidence-based decisions in line with Joint Council for Qualifications guidance.
- To ensure the consideration of historical centre data in the process, and the appropriate decision making in respect of, teacher assessed grades.
- To support a high standard of internal quality assurance in the allocation of teacher assessed grades.
- To support our centre in meeting its obligations in relation to equality legislation.
- To ensure our centre meets all requirements set out by the Department of Education, Ofqual, the Joint Council for Qualifications and awarding organisations for Summer 2021 qualifications.
- To ensure the process for communicating to candidates and their parents/carers how they will be assessed is clear, in order to give confidence.

## Roles and responsibilities

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the personnel in our centre who have specific roles and responsibilities in the process of determining teacher assessed grades this year.

### Roles and Responsibilities

This section gives details of the roles and responsibilities within our centre:

#### Head of Centre and Principal

- Our Head of Centre, Principal Ed Senior, will be responsible for approving our policy for determining teacher assessed grades.
- He has overall responsibility for the College as an examinations centre and will ensure that clear roles and responsibilities of all staff are defined.
- Our Head of Centre will confirm that teacher assessed grade decisions represent the academic judgement made by teachers and that the checks in place ensure these align with the guidance on standards provided by awarding organisations.
- He will ensure a robust internal quality assurance process has been produced and signed-off in advance of results being submitted.

#### Deputy Principal, other members of the Senior Leadership Team

Our Deputy Principal, Ruth Scotson and other members of the Senior Leadership Team with responsibility for Quality will:

- provide training and support to our other staff.
- support the Head of Centre in the quality assurance of the final teacher assessed grades.
- ensure an effective approach within and across departments and authenticating the preliminary outcome from single teacher subjects.
- be responsible for ensuring staff have a clear understanding of the internal and external quality assurance processes and their role within it.
- ensure that all teachers within their department make consistent judgements about student evidence in deriving a grade.
- ensure all staff conduct assessments under the appropriate levels of control with reference to guidance provided by the Joint Council for Qualifications.
- ensure teachers have the information required to make accurate and fair judgments.

#### Heads of Department will:

- be responsible for ensuring staff have a clear understanding of the internal and external quality assurance processes and their role within it.
- ensure that all teachers within their department make consistent judgements about student evidence in deriving a grade.
- ensure all staff conduct assessments under the appropriate levels of control with reference to guidance provided by the Joint Council for Qualifications.
- ensure teachers have the information required to make accurate and fair judgments.

**Teachers will:**

- ensure they conduct assessments under our centre's appropriate levels of control and have sufficient evidence, in line with this Centre Policy and guidance from the Joint Council for Qualifications, to provide teacher assessed grades for each student they have entered for a qualification.
- ensure that the teacher assessed grade they assign to each student is a fair, valid and reliable reflection of the assessed evidence available for each student.
- make judgements based on what each student has been taught and what they have been assessed on, as outlined in the section on grading in the main JCQ guidance.
- produce an Assessment Record for each subject cohort, that includes the nature of the assessment evidence being used, the level of control for assessments considered, and any other evidence that explains the determination of the final teacher assessed grades. Any necessary variations for individual students will also be recorded.
- securely store and be able to retrieve sufficient evidence to justify their decisions.

**The Head of Academic Learning Support will:**

- ensure teachers are informed about and can readily access information about support arrangements.
- securely store and be able to retrieve sufficient evidence to justify their decisions.

**The Examination Officer will:**

- be responsible for the administration of our final teacher assessed grades and for managing the post-results services.

**MIS will:**

- provide reports for subjects that indicate students' prior performance.
- provide reports for subjects on the achievements of students 2017-19
- develop strategies for the recording of student outcomes that are readily available for external quality checks
- develop strategies to make student work produced since 24<sup>th</sup> March available for post results services.

## Training, support and guidance

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the training, support and guidance that our centre will provide to those determining teacher assessed grades this year.

### Training

- We will provide training for all staff involved in the decision-making process to help achieve consistency and fairness to all students
- Additional briefings will be provided for staff with additional responsibilities, such as Heads of Departments
- Teachers will engage wherever practicable with the training and support that has been provided by the Joint Council for Qualifications and the awarding organisations.

### Support for Newly Qualified Teachers and teachers less familiar with assessment

- We will provide support from experienced teachers to NQTs and teachers less familiar with assessment.
- We will ensure that these members of staff take a full part in the decision-making process but will not be responsible for the final decisions that are made.

## Use of appropriate evidence

This section of our Centre Policy indicates how our centre will give due regard to the section in the JCQ guidance entitled: *Guidance on grading for teachers*.

### A. Use of evidence

This section gives details in relation to our use of evidence.

- Teachers making judgements will have regard to the Ofqual Head of Centre guidance on recommended evidence, and further guidance provided by awarding organisations.
- All candidate evidence used to determine teacher assessed grades, and associated documentation, will be retained and made available for the purposes of external quality assurance and appeals. Copies of student work produced after 24<sup>th</sup> March will be retained

A range of evidence will be used by subjects that will be chosen from the following:

- We will be using student work produced in response to assessment materials provided by our awarding organisation(s), including groups of questions, past papers or similar materials such as practice or sample papers.
- We will use non-exam assessment work (often referred to as coursework), even if this has not been fully completed.

- We will use student work produced in centre-devised tasks that reflect the specification, that follow the same format as awarding organisation materials, and have been marked in a way that reflects awarding organisation mark schemes.
- We will use substantial class or homework (including work that took place during remote learning).
- We will use internal tests taken by pupils.
- We will use mock exams taken over the course of study.
- We will use records of a student's capability and performance over the course of study in performance-based subjects such as Music, Drama and Theatre Studies and PE.

#### Additional Assessment Materials

We are aware that these are 'an optional part of the range of evidence that can be used to decide on a student's grade'. Individual subjects will use the materials, where appropriate, in the following circumstances

- Subjects will use additional assessment materials to give students the opportunity to show what they know, understand or can do in an area of content that has been taught but not yet assessed.
- We will use additional assessment materials to give students an opportunity to show improvement, for example, to validate or replace an existing piece of evidence.
- We will use additional assessment materials to support consistency of judgement between teachers or classes by giving everyone the same task to complete.
- We will combine and/or remove elements of questions where, for example, a multi-part question includes a part which focuses on an element of the specification that hasn't been taught.

Our centre will ensure the appropriateness of evidence and balance of evidence in arriving at grades in the following ways:

- We will consider the level of control under which an assessment was completed, for example, whether the evidence was produced under high control and under supervision or at home.
- Wherever possible we will authenticate the work as the student's own, especially where that work was not completed within the school or college.
- We will consider the limitations of assessing a student's performance when using assessments that have been completed more than once, or drafted and redrafted, where this is not a skill being assessed.
- We will consider the specification and assessment objective coverage of the assessment.
- We will consider the depth and breadth of knowledge, understanding and skills assessed, especially higher order skills within individual assessments.

## Determining teacher assessed grades

### Awarding teacher assessed grades based on evidence

- Our teachers will determine grades based on evidence which is commensurate with the standard at which a student is performing, i.e. their demonstrated knowledge, understanding and skills across the content of the course they have been taught.
- Our teachers will record how the evidence was used to arrive at a fair and objective grade, which is free from bias.
- Our teachers will produce an Assessment Record for each subject cohort and will share this with their Head of Department. Any necessary variations for individual students will also be shared.

## Internal quality assurance

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the approach our centre will take to ensure internal standardisation of teacher assessed grades, to ensure consistency, fairness and objectivity of decisions.

### Internal quality assurance

This section gives details of our approach to internal standardisation, within and across subject departments.

- We will ensure that all teachers involved in deriving teacher assessed grades read and understand this Centre Policy document.
- In subjects where there is more than one teacher and/or class in the department, we will ensure that our centre carries out an internal standardisation process.
- We will ensure that all teachers are provided with training and support to ensure they take a consistent approach to:
  - Arriving at teacher assessed grades
  - Marking of evidence
  - Reaching a holistic grading decision
  - Applying the use of grading support and documentation
- We will conduct internal standardisation across all grades.
- We will ensure that the Assessment Record will form the basis of internal standardisation and discussions across teachers to agree the awarding of teacher assessed grades.
- Where necessary, we will review and reflect on individual grading decisions to ensure alignment with the standards as outlined by our awarding organisation(s).
- Where appropriate, we will amend individual grade decisions to ensure alignment with the standards as outlined by our awarding organisation(s).
- Where there is only one teacher involved in marking assessments and determining grades, then the output of this activity will be reviewed by an appropriate member of staff

within the centre. This will be either the Head of Department or a member of the Senior Leadership Team with responsibility for Quality.

- In respect of equality legislation, we will consider the range of evidence for students of different protected characteristics that are included in our internal standardisation.

## Comparison of teacher assessed grades to results for previous cohorts

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the approach we will take to compare our teacher assessed grades in 2021 with results from previous cohorts.

### Comparison of Teacher Assessed Grades to results for previous cohorts

- We will compile information on the grades awarded to our students in past June series in which exams took place (e.g. 2017 - 2019).
- We will consider the size of our cohort from year to year.
- We will consider the stability of our centre's overall grade outcomes from year to year.
- We will consider both subject and centre level variation in our outcomes during the internal quality assurance process.
- We will prepare a succinct narrative on the outcomes of the review against historic data which, in the event of significant divergence from the qualifications-levels profiles attained in previous examined years, which address the reasons for this divergence. This commentary will be available for subsequent review during the QA process.

## Access Arrangements and Special Considerations

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the approach our centre will take to provide students with appropriate access arrangements and take into account mitigating circumstances in particular instances. A full explanation of our approach can be found in our Special Consideration Policy that follows JCQ guidance including **A Guide to the Special Consideration Process**.

### Reasonable adjustments and mitigating circumstances (special consideration)

- Where students have agreed access arrangements or reasonable adjustments (for example a reader or scribe) we will make every effort to ensure that these arrangements are in place when assessments are being taken.
- Where an assessment has taken place without an agreed reasonable adjustment or access arrangement, we will remove that assessment from the basket of evidence and obtain alternative evidence or determine the grade based on the remaining evidence.



- We will apply JCQ guidance in determining eligibility for special consideration.
- We will record, as part of the Assessment Record, how we have incorporated any necessary variations to take account of the impact of illness or personal circumstances on the performance of individual students in assessments.
- We will make all JCQ documentation about special consideration available and will include this in training for HODs and staff with additional responsibilities.

## Objectivity

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the arrangements in place to ensure objectivity of decisions.

### Objectivity

Staff will fulfil their duties and responsibilities in relation to relevant equality and disability legislation.

Senior Leaders, Heads of Department and Centre will consider:

- sources of unfairness and bias (situations/contexts, difficulty, presentation and format, language, conditions for assessment, marker preconceptions);
- how to minimise bias in questions and marking and hidden forms of bias); and
- bias in teacher assessed grades.

To ensure objectivity, all staff involved in determining teacher assessed grades will be made aware that:

- unconscious bias can skew judgements;
- the evidence presented should be valued for its own merit as an indication of performance and attainment;
- teacher assessed grades should not be influenced by candidates' positive or challenging personal circumstances, character, behaviour, appearance, socio-economic background, or protected characteristics;
- unconscious bias is more likely to occur when quick opinions are formed; and

Our internal standardisation process will help to ensure that there are different perspectives to the quality assurance process.

---

## Recording decisions and retention of evidence and data

This section of our Centre Policy outlines our arrangements to recording decisions and to retaining evidence and data.

### **B. Recording Decisions and Retention of Evidence and Data**

- We will ensure that teachers and Heads of Departments maintain records that show how the teacher assessed grades process operated, including the rationale for decisions in relation to individual marks/grades.
- We will ensure that evidence is maintained across a variety of tasks to develop a holistic view of each student's demonstrated knowledge, understanding and skills in the areas of content taught. Student work will be retained for assessments completed after 24<sup>th</sup> March 2021.
- We will put in place recording requirements for the various stages of the process to ensure the accurate and secure retention of the evidence used to make decisions.
- We will comply with our obligations regarding data protection legislation.
- We will ensure that the grades accurately reflect the evidence submitted.
- We will ensure that evidence is retained electronically or on paper in a secure centre-based system that can be readily shared with our awarding organisation(s).

---

## Authenticating evidence

### **C. Authenticating evidence**

This section of our Centre Policy details the mechanisms in place to ensure that teachers are confident in the authenticity of evidence, and the process for dealing with cases where evidence is not thought to be authentic.

- Subjects will place a greater weight on work that is completed with a higher level of control
- Within subject teams we will review work where there are concerns that inappropriate levels of help may have been provided and, where necessary, we will discount the activity or provide the opportunity to provide alternative evidence.
- It is understood that awarding organisations will investigate instances where it appears evidence is not authentic. We will follow all guidance provided by awarding organisations to support these determinations of authenticity.

---

## Confidentiality, malpractice and conflicts of interest

### Confidentiality

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the measures in place to ensure the confidentiality of the grades our centre determines, and to make students aware of the range of evidence on which those grades will be based.

<b>A. Confidentiality</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• All staff involved have been made aware of the need to maintain the confidentiality of teacher assessed grades.</li><li>• All teaching staff have been briefed on the requirement to share details of the range of evidence on which students' grades will be based, while ensuring that details of the final grades remain confidential.</li><li>• Relevant details from this Policy, including requirements around sharing details of evidence and the confidentiality requirements, have been shared with students, parents/guardians.</li></ul>



### Malpractice

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the measures in place to prevent malpractice and other breaches of exam regulations, and to deal with such cases if they occur.

<b>B. Malpractice</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Our general centre policies regarding malpractice, maladministration and conflicts of interest have been reviewed to ensure they address the specific challenges of delivery in Summer 2021.</li><li>• All staff involved have been made aware of these policies and have received training in them as necessary.</li><li>• All staff involved have been made aware of the specific types of malpractice which may affect the Summer 2021 series including:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ breaches of internal security;</li><li>○ deception;</li><li>○ improper assistance to students;</li><li>○ failure to appropriately authenticate a student's work;</li><li>○ over direction of students in preparation for common assessments;</li><li>○ allegations that centres submit grades not supported by evidence that they know to be inaccurate;</li><li>○ centres enter students who were not originally intending to certificate a grade in the Summer 2021 series;</li><li>○ failure to engage as requested with awarding organisations during the External Quality Assurance and appeal stages; and</li><li>○ failure to keep appropriate records of decisions made and teacher assessed grades.</li></ul></li></ul>

## Conflicts of Interest

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the measures in place to address potential conflicts of interest.

### C. Conflicts of Interest

- To protect the integrity of assessments, all staff involved in the determination of grades must declare any conflict of interest such as relationships with students to our Head of Centre for further consideration.
- Our Head of Centre will take appropriate action to manage any conflicts of interest arising with centre staff in accordance with the JCQ documents - [General Regulations for Approved Centres, 1 September 2020 to 31 August 2021](#).

*[Optional section if your centre is accepting Private Candidates – if not, then this section can be deleted]*

## Private candidates

The College is not accepting private candidates apart from in very exceptional circumstances that have been agreed with the Head of Centre

### A. Private Candidates

Private candidates have been approved in a very small number of cases where the student is already enrolled as a candidate at College on a full-time programme of study and this has been supplemented by additional studies completed outside the organisation.

- Our arrangements for assessing Private Candidates to arrive at appropriate grades are identical to the approaches utilised for internal candidates.
- Where it has been necessary to utilise different approaches, the **JCQ Guidance on Private Candidates** has been followed and any divergences from our approach for internal candidates have been recorded on the appropriate class/student documentation.
- In undertaking the review of cohort grades in conjunction with our centre results profiles from previous examined years, the grades determined by our centre for Private Candidates have been excluded from our analysis.

## External Quality Assurance

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the arrangements in place to comply with awarding organisation arrangements for External Quality Assurance of teacher assessed grades in a timely and effective way.

### A. External Quality Assurance

- All staff involved have been made aware of the awarding organisation requirements for External Quality Assurance as set out in the **JCQ Guidance**.
- All necessary records of decision-making in relation to determining grades have been properly kept and can be made available for review as required.
- All student evidence on which decisions regarding the determination of grades has been retained and can be made available for review as required.
- Copies of all student work completed after 24<sup>th</sup> March 2021 will be retained.
- All staff involved have been briefed on the possibility of interaction with awarding organisations during the different stages of the External Quality Assurance process and can respond promptly and fully to enquiries, including attendance at Virtual Visits should this prove necessary.
- Arrangements are in place to respond fully and promptly to any additional requirements/reviews that may be identified as a result of the External Quality Assurance process.
- Staff have been made aware that a failure to respond fully and effectively to such additional requirements may result in further action by the awarding organisations, including the withholding of results.

## Results

This section of our Centre Policy outlines our approach to the receipt and issue of results to students and the provision of necessary advice and guidance.

### A. Results

- All staff involved have been made aware of the specific arrangements for the issue of results in Summer 2021, including the issuing of A/AS and GCSE results in the same week.
- Arrangements will be made to ensure the necessary staffing, including exams office and support staff, to enable the efficient receipt and release of results to our students.
- Arrangements will be in place for the provision of advice, guidance and support, including pastoral support, to students on receipt of their results.
- Such guidance will include advice on the appeals process in place in 2021 (see below).
- Appropriate staff will be available to respond promptly to any requests for information from awarding organisations, for example regarding missing or incomplete results, to enable such issues to be swiftly resolved.
- Parents/guardians have been made aware of arrangements for results days.

---

## Appeals

This section of our Centre Policy outlines our approach to Appeals, to ensure that they are handled swiftly and effectively, and in line with JCQ requirements.

<b>A. Appeals</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• All staff involved have been made aware of the arrangements for, and the requirements of, appeals in Summer 2021, as set out in the <b>JCQ Guidance</b>.</li><li>• Internal arrangements will be in place for the swift and effective handling of Centre Reviews in compliance with the requirements.</li><li>• All necessary staff have been briefed on the process for, and timing of, such reviews, and will be available to ensure their prompt and efficient handling.</li><li>• Learners have been appropriately guided as to the necessary stages of appeal.</li><li>• Arrangements will be in place for the timely submission of appeals to awarding organisations, including any priority appeals, for example those on which university places depend.</li><li>• Arrangements will be in place to obtain the written consent of students to the initiation of appeals and to record their awareness that grades may go down as well as up on appeal.</li><li>• Appropriate information on the appeals process will be provided to parents/carers.</li></ul>



Ruth Scotson

Deputy Principal

April 2021